

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,027	06/07/2000	Steven R. Kleiman	103.1037.01 8740		
22883	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC			NGUYEN, CHAU T		
P.O. BOX 390013 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/590,027	KLEIMAN, STEVEN R.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Chau Nguyen	2176			

Before the Filling of all Appear Birer	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Chau Nguyen	2176						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS	h. A Ab	will make by a make and by						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		ducina or cimplifyina	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	uie issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	_							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 12-26</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
11. 🔀 The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
(See గేరాలు 3 , ఉద్దారం ) I2. 🔲 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
		WILLIAM BA	LiBaclar					
		WILLIAM BA PRIMARY EX 3(1/2~	AMINED					
		-	<del>-</del> -					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the remarks, Applicant argues that Massa reference does not teach "an address of a client data buffer for a data transfer is responsive to a size of a data block to be transferred". In reply to Applicant's argument, Massa discloses in col. 12, lines 13-17 that sending an initial message, which includes information to indicate the size of the data to be transferred from the switch 126 of application 136 (client) to the switch 120 of application 132 (server) via message buffers 148 and 125 (data buffers). Massa also discloses in col. 12, lines 42-59 that the message includes the location (address) of the application's set of receiving buffers. In addition, Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to the amended subject matter such as "said data buffers in said client include different sizes and alignments than said data buffers in said server", which requires further search and/or consideration by the examiner.